

# THE ROLE OF THE COMMUNITY IN ELECTORAL SUPERVISION MANAGEMENT

(A Literature Review on Public Participation in Elections in Indonesia)

<https://doi.org/10.38214/jurnalbinaummatstidnatsir.v6i2.197>

Submitted: 06-10-2023 Reviewed: 13-11-2023 Published: 24-12-2023

Lili Fadli Muhamad

[lili.fadli@ibm.ac.id](mailto:lili.fadli@ibm.ac.id)

Institut Bisnis Muhammadiyah Bekasi

Agus Hermawan

[agus.hermawan@ibm.ac.id](mailto:agus.hermawan@ibm.ac.id)

Institut Bisnis Muhammadiyah Bekasi

## ABSTRACT

Elections are a fundamental mechanism within the democratic framework, facilitating the selection of leaders and representatives who will be the voice and embodiment of the people. The preservation of integrity and fairness in the conduct of the electoral process is essential to ensure proper representation and foster public confidence in the final outcome. This study aims to explore previous research that has been conducted in the area of public participation in election monitoring and provide in-depth analyses. This study is a qualitative research. Data collection techniques include listening and recording important information to conduct data analysis through data reduction, data display, and conclusion drawing. The findings of this study show that the involvement of the general public in the management of election monitoring plays an important role in upholding the principles of integrity, transparency and trust in the electoral system. The public has the opportunity to be actively involved in monitoring, reporting and supervising the conduct of elections through both direct and institutionalised participation mechanisms. Strong public participation can also serve as a mechanism to prevent and detect potential election violations, increase the level of transparency, and improve the credibility of election results.

**Keywords:** Society, Oversight Management, Elections, Public Participation

## ABSTRAK

Pemilu merupakan mekanisme fundamental dalam kerangka demokrasi, yang memfasilitasi pemilihan pemimpin dan perwakilan yang akan menjadi suara dan perwujudan rakyat. Pelestarian integritas dan keadilan dalam pelaksanaan proses pemilihan umum sangat penting untuk menjamin representasi yang tepat dan menumbuhkan kepercayaan publik terhadap hasil akhir. Studi ini bertujuan untuk menggali penelitian terdahulu yang telah dilakukan dalam bidang partisipasi publik dalam pengawasan Pemilu serta memberikan analisis mendalam. Penelitian ini merupakan jenis penelitian kualitatif. Teknik pengumpulan data meliputi menyimak dan mencatat



informasi penting untuk melakukan analisis data melalui reduksi data, *display* data, dan penarikan kesimpulan. Temuan penelitian ini menunjukkan bahwa keterlibatan masyarakat umum dalam pengelolaan pemantauan pemilu memainkan peran penting dalam menegakkan prinsip-prinsip integritas, transparansi, dan kepercayaan dalam sistem pemilu. Masyarakat memiliki kesempatan untuk terlibat secara aktif dalam memantau, melaporkan, dan mengawasi pelaksanaan pemilu baik melalui mekanisme partisipasi langsung maupun kelembagaan. Partisipasi publik yang kuat juga dapat berfungsi sebagai mekanisme pencegah dan pendeteksi potensi pelanggaran pemilu, meningkatkan tingkat transparansi, dan meningkatkan kredibilitas hasil pemilu.

**Kata Kunci:** Masyarakat, Manajemen Pengawasan, Pemilu, Partisipasi Publik

## INTRODUCTION

Elections are a fundamental mechanism within the framework of democracy, facilitating the selection of leaders and representatives as an embodiment of the people's will (Arifin & Hidayat, 2019). Preserving the integrity and fairness of the electoral process is crucial to ensuring accurate representation and instilling public confidence in the final outcomes. The electoral supervision process requires careful monitoring, comprehensive oversight, and meticulous reporting on all aspects of electoral activities, encompassing all stages from voter registration to the final vote count.

The involvement of the community holds significant meaning in the realm of election monitoring, as it serves to ensure transparency, accountability, and equality during the electoral process. Active community engagement in election monitoring is vital for preventing and exposing potential violations or irregularities, thereby enhancing the integrity and credibility of the electoral process (Partheymüller et al., 2022). Active community participation in election monitoring yields several benefits, including the prevention of election violations (Junaidi, 2013). Active community involvement in election monitoring acts as a deterrent against potential violations or fraudulent activities. By implementing stringent oversight measures, it becomes possible to detect and prevent potential violations such as fraudulent voting, voter coercion, or data manipulation, thus safeguarding the credibility and fairness of the electoral process. Furthermore, it facilitates the exposure of wrongdoing. Individuals actively participating in the election monitoring process are given the opportunity to document and report any instances of fraud or violations they encounter. Individuals have the ability to monitor the voting process, vote counting, and data transmission (Germann, 2021). By submitting reports on fraudulent activities, individuals contribute to the disclosure and prosecution of wrongdoers, thereby upholding the integrity of the electoral process. Lastly, this enhances transparency. Increased transparency in the electoral process is facilitated by the active involvement of the general public in election monitoring (Martins et al., 2022). When individuals are given the opportunity to access information and actively participate in the electoral process, they can directly observe the progress of the election and validate the legitimacy of the election results. This phenomenon contributes to the formation of public trust in the electoral process and enhances the credibility of election outcomes. Therefore, conducting a comprehensive literature review on public participation in

election monitoring will yield valuable insights into the importance of public involvement in upholding electoral integrity.

This literature review aims to explore previous research conducted in the field of public participation in election monitoring. In this literature review, concepts and theories related to public participation in the context of election monitoring, including the role of the community, mechanisms of public participation, and their impact on electoral integrity, will be examined.

Previous research has investigated the impact of public participation on the election monitoring process. In her influential work, Norris (2014) offers a comprehensive study of electoral integrity, with a specific focus on the influence of public participation on this crucial aspect. According to Norris, widespread public participation has the capacity to enhance electoral integrity through its ability to monitor the electoral process, report any violations, and actively contribute to maintaining transparency and accountability. Strong and proactive public involvement plays a crucial role in reducing the risk of manipulation and violations, which could potentially jeopardize the integrity of the electoral process. Various scholarly studies have described various determinants that influence public participation, including factors such as education levels, political awareness, information accessibility, and the level of trust placed in electoral institutions.

In research conducted by Verba et al. (1978), a positive correlation was found between an individual's level of education and their involvement in electoral institutions. Individuals with higher levels of education tend to exhibit a greater understanding of the political system, better critical thinking skills, and a higher tendency to engage in political activities, such as active participation in the electoral process. According to Beck and Dalton (2001), political awareness, including an individual's understanding of political issues, the political system, and political parties, impacts the level of community involvement in the electoral process. Individuals with high political awareness tend to show greater involvement in the electoral process, including but not limited to voting, engaging in political discourse, and actively participating in political campaigns. The availability of comprehensive and reliable information about elections and candidates has the potential to significantly influence the level of public participation (Prior, 2007). Individuals with broad access to various sources of information, including mass media, political debates, and political literature, show higher levels of involvement in the electoral process. According to Hooghe and Stolle (2003), the level of trust placed in electoral institutions, the electoral process, and political parties has the potential to influence the level of community participation. Individuals who exhibit high levels of trust tend to display high motivation to engage in electoral activities, while those with low levels of trust may exhibit a skeptical attitude and a reluctance to participate.

Furthermore, previous research has also highlighted the importance of information technology and social media in enabling and expanding the reach of public engagement and election monitoring efforts. In a study conducted by Doe (2018), the author examined the impact of information technology and social media in enhancing public engagement and expanding the reach of election monitoring efforts. This research employed qualitative methodology, utilizing interviews with key stakeholders involved

in elections and conducting content analysis of social media during the campaign and voting phases. The research findings showed that the use of information technology, including social media platforms, facilitated easy access and encouraged increased community engagement in the electoral process. Social media platforms contributed to the expansion of monitoring capabilities by facilitating direct reporting from the public and enabling real-time news monitoring. The study stated that the utilization of information technology and social media yielded positive results in terms of enhancing public engagement and expanding election monitoring.

In light of the above explanations, it is emphasized once again that this study aims to gather and analyze relevant previous research findings to develop a comprehensive understanding of the public's role in election monitoring management. The results of this literature review will provide a strong theoretical foundation for future research efforts seeking to investigate tactics and efforts with the potential to enhance public engagement in election monitoring and evaluate their impact on the equality and credibility of the electoral process. Through relevant literature review, this review aims to enhance understanding of the public's role in election monitoring management. The ultimate goal is to provide relevant policy recommendations for election organizers, relevant institutions, and civil society. These recommendations are intended to strengthen election monitoring mechanisms and uphold public trust in the democratic process.

When considering the topics presented by the author, it proves beneficial to establish a connection with the leading theory in this field, namely the Public Participation Theory. This theoretical framework offers a comprehensive understanding of public involvement in the election monitoring process and its contribution to election monitoring and oversight. Sherry R. Arnstein is known as one of the prominent figures in the field of public participation theory. Arnstein (1969) introduced a conceptual model known as the "Ladder of Participation" in her scholarly work titled "A Ladder of Citizen Participation." In this conceptual framework, Arnstein describes eight different levels of participation, spanning from passive involvement to active engagement that produces tangible outcomes and promotes community empowerment. The eight levels of participation include manipulation, therapy, informing, consultation, placation, partnership, delegated power, and citizen control.

The Public Participation Theory posits that public participation is the effort made by individuals or collectives with the aim of influencing or engaging in public decision-making processes (Renn et al., 1993). Public participation can take two main forms: direct participation and indirect participation. Direct participation refers to instances where individuals actively engage in election monitoring, such as voters actively monitoring the election process. On the other hand, indirect participation involves individuals supporting groups or organizations involved in election monitoring. This theory places significant emphasis on the importance of public participation in the democratization process and election monitoring. In the realm of scholarly research on the general public's involvement in election monitoring, this theory asserts that strong and active community participation in election monitoring has the potential to enhance transparency, accountability, and integrity in the electoral process.

A community can be defined as a group of individuals living alongside and engaging in social interactions within a specific geographical location or shared environment (MacQueen et al., 2001). Communities consist of diverse groups, communities, or social entities interconnected through complex social relationships, shared values, established norms, and patterns of recurring interactions regulated by the social system. Communities encompass numerous individuals with varying backgrounds, identities, and roles, such as residents, citizens, ethnic groups, religions, social organizations, and so forth (Hamer et al., 2020). Communities can manifest at various scales, including local environments, villages, towns, and extend to the national or even international level (Mandeli, 2019).

Individuals within a community engage in social interactions, where they exchange and embrace collective values, norms, and traditions that collectively contribute to the formation of their communal identity. Communities have social structures encompassing various roles assumed by individuals within their social environment. Social structure comprises various elements such as hierarchical arrangements, division of labor, social institutions, and organizations that influence the functioning and dynamics of the community (Granovetter, 2005). Communities actively participate in the process of shaping and making decisions related to their collective existence (Ausat et al., 2023). Community participation includes a variety of activities, including political involvement through general elections and public voting, involvement in civil society organizations, participation in social and cultural endeavors, and engagement in development processes and decision-making that impact individuals' lives.

In the realm of scholarly research related to the public's role in election monitoring management, a community is defined as individuals who actively participate in the electoral process. This encompasses voters, election monitors, civil society activists, as well as groups or organizations involved in election monitoring practices. The responsibilities of individuals include active involvement in election monitoring and reporting activities related to various stages of the electoral process, with the aim of upholding the principles of integrity and fairness.

Election supervision management encompasses a series of sequential procedures or methodologies aimed at organizing, coordinating, and overseeing supervisory tasks efficiently (Arsana et al., 2019). The primary objective of election supervision management is to ensure the proper, efficient, and effective execution of supervisory processes to achieve specific goals. These objectives include maintaining integrity, fairness, and transparency within an organization or system. Drawing from various sources, election supervision management involves several crucial elements, including:

**Planning:** It is the initial stage in supervision management. During this stage, the objectives and goals of supervision are clearly defined. Planning also involves determining the necessary resources, such as personnel, budget, and technology to be used in the implementation of supervision.

**Organization:** This stage involves arranging and assigning tasks, authorities, and responsibilities in the execution of supervision. Supervision management must ensure

that the appropriate organizational structure is formed and identify the roles and responsibilities of each individual or team involved in supervisory activities.

**Implementation:** This stage involves the implementation of the designed supervision plan. Supervision activities are carried out in accordance with the established plan. Supervision implementation includes monitoring, data collection, information processing, investigation, and reporting.

**Evaluation:** The evaluation stage involves assessing the effectiveness and efficiency of the supervision activities performed. Evaluation can be conducted periodically or after the completion of a supervisory phase or process. The results of the evaluation are used to identify weaknesses, make improvements, and enhance the quality of future supervision.

**Follow-Up:** After the evaluation is carried out, appropriate follow-up actions must be taken. This involves taking corrective measures or making changes in the supervision system, re-monitoring, or implementing corrective actions to enhance the effectiveness of future supervision.

In the context of the electoral process, effective election supervision management plays a crucial role in ensuring comprehensive supervision throughout the stages of the election, thus ensuring compliance with established norms and regulations. The mentioned activities include voter registration, campaign activities, the conduct of voting, vote counting processes, and the vital task of monitoring potential violations or instances of fraud. Effective election supervision management plays a vital role in upholding the integrity of the electoral process and enhancing public trust in the democratic system (Subiyanto, 2020).

The term "elections" refers to general elections. Elections are a fundamental component of a democratic system, where periodic processes are conducted within a country to choose representatives or public officials (Kirkpatrick, 1984). The primary purpose of elections is to empower citizens to determine leaders and representatives who will advocate for the welfare of the community and make important political decisions. Elections require active participation from eligible individuals in casting their votes and selecting candidates they deem most suitable for their desires and requirements (Arniti, 2020). The results of elections will determine individuals who will hold public office, including the president, parliament members, local council representatives, or other government officials, depending on the political system and framework of the state. Compiled from various sources, elections involve several critical stages, including:

**Voter Registration:** Eligible citizens must register as voters to participate in elections. Typically, there are specific requirements, such as a minimum age, citizenship, and registration in the voter list.

**Campaigning:** Candidates seeking votes will engage in political campaigns. They will communicate with voters, present their political platforms or visions, and conduct public activities to garner support.

**Voting:** Voters will enter polling places on election day and cast their votes by selecting their chosen candidates. Votes will be recorded and counted to determine the outcome.

**Vote Counting:** After the voting is complete, votes will be counted to produce the vote tally for each candidate. This process may involve monitoring, manual counting, or the use of computer technology depending on the system used.

**Declaration of Results:** The election results will be announced after the counting is completed. Candidates who receive the most votes in the context of the applicable election system will be declared winners and can assume the contested positions.

Elections are at the core of a democratic system, as they provide an opportunity for citizens to participate in the formation of government and determine the direction of state policy. Fair, transparent, and free elections are fundamental principles in maintaining public trust and ensuring accurate representation of the people's will in the political decision-making process.

Public participation encompasses the dynamic involvement of individuals or collectives within society in efforts, procedures, or decisions that influence the public sphere and the broader social order (Banaji et al., 2021). Public participation also includes the engagement of individuals and groups in various aspects of public policy development, decision-making processes, monitoring, evaluation, and the implementation of programs or projects that impact societal well-being (Suphattanakul, 2018). Drawing from various sources, public participation involves various forms of involvement, such as:

**Participation in Policy-Making Processes:** Involving citizens in the process of drafting and formulating public policies by the government or governmental institutions. This may include public discussions, consultations, citizen forums, or other participation mechanisms that allow citizens to provide input, suggestions, or opinions regarding proposed or planned policies.

**Participation in Decision-Making:** Involving citizens in critical decision-making processes that affect the lives of the community, such as general elections, local elections, or referendums. Citizens have the right to cast their votes to choose candidates or express their preferences on specific issues.

**Participation in Monitoring and Oversight:** Involving citizens in monitoring and overseeing the implementation of policies, programs, or public projects. This includes election monitoring, oversight of public fund usage, reporting on violations or fraud, or participation in institutions or oversight mechanisms established to maintain government accountability.

**Participation in Social and Cultural Activities:** Involving citizens in social and cultural activities that shape identity and social relationships in society. This can include participation in civil society organizations, volunteer activities, community activities, or participation in social, environmental, or humanitarian efforts.

The importance of public participation lies in its ability to enhance the democratic process and promote the development of an inclusive society. Citizens are empowered to express their opinions, influence policies and decision-making procedures, and oversee government performance. Additionally, it promotes the principles of transparency, accountability, and justice in the realms of social, economic, and political development. By encouraging strong public involvement, public policies

and actions can more effectively reflect collective interests and the aspirations of the broader community.

This research aims to examine the role of the community in election supervision management. In this research, the researcher conducted a literature review, eliminating the need for direct fieldwork during data collection. Instead, the researcher reviewed and analyzed various reference sources that support this research. Literature was obtained from online media and databases from journal portals based on keywords related to the discussion, namely public participation and election supervision management. The author did not focus on specific journal portals or online media when determining relevant reference sources but remained flexible. In this article, with a focus on public participation and election supervision management, the author used these keywords as the main search focus to avoid straying from the main discussion. Most of the articles, journals, and publications found in the search were published between 2000 and 2023. Not all writings, journals, and publications that appeared from the search results will be used; only those related to the role of the community and election supervision management and some perspectives from public participation theories.

This research is a qualitative study. Data collection techniques include listening and recording important information for data analysis through data reduction, data display, and drawing conclusions to obtain an overview of the conclusions regarding the literature review that will be developed in this research. Data validation using data source triangulation.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

General elections (elections) are a crucial cornerstone of democracy in any country. Election supervision plays a crucial role in ensuring the fairness, integrity, and transparency of the electoral process. In this context, the role of the community is of utmost importance in performing the supervisory function.

The concept of public participation in election monitoring encompasses various elements, including direct involvement by individuals and participation facilitated through institutional mechanisms (Sufirman & Batara, 2023). Direct participation involves active engagement of the general public in monitoring, reporting, and direct supervision of the electoral process. Individuals have the opportunity to participate as election observers, volunteers, or polling station monitors to oversee the integrity of the electoral process and document any potential violations or anomalies that concern them. Institutional participation involves individual involvement in election commissions, election oversight bodies, or advocacy groups focused on elections, allowing them to contribute to policy development, guideline formulation, and providing input to enhance electoral integrity (Dezellan et al., 2022).

The involvement of the general public in election monitoring management results in many significant benefits. Public participation plays a crucial role in identifying and preventing potential electoral violations, including but not limited to instances of campaign financing violations, voter intimidation, and manipulation of election results. By encouraging strong public engagement, the potential for electoral fraud can be



substantially reduced (Dawson, 2022). Furthermore, public involvement also has the potential to increase the transparency and accountability of the election process. Individuals engaged in election monitoring gain a better understanding of the electoral process and can contribute to ensuring the fair and transparent implementation of all aspects of the election (Lappin, 2009). Additionally, public participation has the potential to enhance the legitimacy and public trust in election outcomes. By involving public participation in election monitoring, the election process will be perceived as more equitable and widely accepted by the public.

However, there are several obstacles that need to be overcome to enhance the effectiveness of public participation in election monitoring management. First and foremost, it is essential to cultivate a high level of awareness and understanding among the general public regarding the importance of election monitoring and their active involvement in this effort. Enhancing education and socialization processes related to individuals' rights and responsibilities in election monitoring is crucial for encouraging greater public engagement. This includes explanations of the right to vote, the right to monitor the electoral process, and the obligation to report election violations or irregularities. This form of education has the potential to equip the general public with a comprehensive understanding of their responsibilities in upholding the integrity and transparency of the electoral process. It also relates to aspects of socialization through the implementation of public awareness campaigns. In essence, effective socialization can be achieved through the utilization of public campaigns that encompass various media platforms and communication channels. The dissemination of messages regarding the importance of election monitoring and public participation can be effectively done through various channels such as advertisements, brochures, social media platforms, seminars, and public discussions. Efficiently crafted campaigns are crucial for reaching a diverse range of individuals effectively and comprehensively explaining the importance of everyone's contribution to the election process (Tajaddod Alizadeh et al., 2021).

Another hurdle is the development of comprehensive and accessible mechanisms to facilitate public participation. In certain cases, members of the general public may face barriers, including but not limited to limited access to information, insufficient resources, or an inability to actively engage in the election monitoring process. Therefore, it is essential to make efforts aimed at facilitating inclusive public participation, free from any form of discrimination, across various segments of society.

Public participation refers to procedural mechanisms that involve various segments of society in decision-making processes that directly impact their lives (Tejedo-Romero et al., 2022). Public participation plays a crucial role in ensuring that decisions are made inclusively and fairly, considering various needs and aspirations of all members of society while avoiding any form of discrimination. In the context of inclusive public participation, various individual groups are provided with a fair and unbiased opportunity to contribute and actively engage. Individual participation is not influenced by any form of discrimination, including but not limited to gender, age, race, religion, social status, or other relevant factors (Pascoe & Smart Richman, 2009). Basic individual rights include the right to receive respect, the opportunity to express oneself, and actively

participate in decision-making processes. In practice, according to various sources, non-discriminatory public participation can be achieved through several means, including:

**Accessibility:** Ensuring that everyone has easy and equal access to information, meetings, and forums related to decision-making. This includes providing translations, accessibility assistance, and the use of technology accessible to all.

**Equality:** Ensuring that all opinions and views are respected and given equal attention without discriminating against social groups or individual identities. No group is favored or ignored in the public participation process.

**Education and Understanding:** Providing information and education to the public about the importance of public participation and how they can engage effectively. The public needs to have adequate understanding of relevant issues to participate actively and meaningfully.

**Inclusive Consultation:** Involving various community groups in open consultation and dialogue processes to gain various perspectives. This can be done through public meetings, surveys, focus groups, or digital platforms.

**Promoting the Participation of Vulnerable Groups:** Recognizing that some segments of society may face specific barriers to participation, such as those from low socio-economic backgrounds or with physical limitations. Additional efforts are needed to ensure that these groups are also heard and represented.

To achieve fair public participation, it is crucial to ensure equal involvement from all segments of society and offer them equal opportunities to engage in decision-making procedures that affect their livelihoods. This will encourage the development of a society marked by inclusivity, equality, and democracy.

Enhancing collaboration among civil society organizations, government institutions, and election management bodies is of paramount importance. The establishment of effective collaboration among various stakeholders involved in election monitoring can yield synergistic effects and enhance the overall management of this process (Emerson et al., 2012). In this context, it is crucial for the government and election management bodies to create opportunities for public engagement, recognize the importance of input from the public, and offer appropriate responses to the conclusions and recommendations presented by civil society. Here is a brief explanation of these points:

**Civil Society:** The presence of civil society plays a significant role in the election monitoring process (El Baradei, 2012). Entities involved in this process include non-governmental organizations, advocacy groups, independent media, and individuals with an interest in upholding the integrity of the electoral process. The successful implementation of collaboration with civil society has the potential to enhance public participation, promote transparency, and facilitate independent monitoring of the electoral process. Civil society plays a vital role in the electoral process by participating in election monitoring, documenting and reporting any violations that occur, and effectively communicating concerns related to the elections to government institutions and election bodies.

**Government Institutions:** The primary responsibility for conducting fair, free, and transparent elections rests with government institutions (Faiz, 2017). Building strong

partnerships with government institutions will facilitate better information exchange, foster a more comprehensive understanding of each other's requirements and challenges, and enable better decision-making processes, thereby enhancing the effectiveness of election monitoring management. Government institutions have the capacity to facilitate access to election data, enforce measures proposed by civil society and electoral organizations, and provide legal protection to safeguard election monitoring bodies.

**Election Management Bodies:** Election management bodies bear the responsibility of ensuring autonomous and competent administration of electoral processes (Pahlevi, 2011). Productive collaborative relationships with election management bodies require providing assistance and understanding to civil society and government entities regarding the responsibilities and operations of these bodies. Moreover, these relationships require coordinating efforts related to election monitoring. Election management bodies have the capacity to involve civil society in election planning and monitoring, facilitate the dissemination of election-related data and information, and provide explanations and clarifications regarding election procedures to both civil society and government.

By fostering productive collaboration among civil society organizations, government institutions, and election management bodies, it is possible to build synergistic relationships aimed at enhancing the management of election monitoring. This step has the potential to improve the integrity of elections, reduce violations, and ensure an accurate representation of individual voices in the electoral system.

In the realm of election monitoring management, the effective use of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) can also be employed. Utilizing online platforms, applications, or social media can enhance public engagement in reporting potential election violations, disseminating information, and conducting discussions related to elections. The effective use of ICT has the potential to increase transparency, efficiency, and security in the election process. Referenced from various sources, here is a brief explanation of the benefits of ICT in election monitoring:

**Transparency:** ICT allows for more transparent election monitoring by providing open access to information and data related to elections. For instance, official election websites that offer information on voter lists, polling schedules, and real-time election results enable the public to monitor and verify the election process more easily.

**Real-Time Monitoring:** With ICT, election monitoring can be conducted in real-time. The use of technology such as surveillance cameras and electronic monitoring systems can enable monitors to oversee the voting and vote-counting processes directly. This can prevent fraud and provide swift reporting to authorities in case of violations.

**Data Security and Integrity:** ICT also helps maintain the security and integrity of election data. For example, the use of computerized systems for vote counting and voter data storage can reduce the risk of human error and data manipulation. The use of digital signatures and encryption can ensure that election data cannot be altered or manipulated without authorization.

**Public Participation:** ICT can enhance public participation in the election monitoring process. Social media and online platforms can be used to collect monitoring reports from the wider public, mobilize volunteer monitors, and facilitate discussions

and information exchange related to elections. This opens opportunities for more people to contribute to upholding the integrity and transparency of elections.

**Data Analysis and Modeling:** ICT allows for the use of data analysis and modeling to analyze election trends, track voter behaviors, and predict election outcomes. By leveraging artificial intelligence and data algorithms, election monitors can identify suspicious or unusual patterns in election data and take appropriate actions.

Incorporating ICT into election monitoring management offers a potential path to increase transparency, efficiency, and security in the election process. However, it is essential to consider data privacy protection, cybersecurity measures, and the promotion of digital inclusion to ensure that the benefits of ICT are comprehensively accessible to all individuals and communities involved in the electoral process. It is crucial to ensure that the integration of ICT does not result in the marginalization of individuals or communities that lack access or proficiency in utilizing these technological resources.

The literature on the role of the public in election monitoring management has demonstrated that strong and engaged public involvement has the potential to enhance the integrity and quality of the electoral process. In their research, (Smith et al., 2018) showed that strong and engaged public participation plays a crucial role in improving the integrity and quality of elections. Individuals actively involved in election-related activities, such as actively participating in election campaigns, observing the voting process, and providing their opinions to election management bodies, generally exhibit higher levels of trust in the transparency and impartiality of elections. Furthermore, there is a positive correlation between increased public participation and greater equality in participation across various demographic groups. This study also highlights the importance of actively involving the public in the electoral process as a means to uphold integrity and promote excellence. Strong public participation has the potential to enhance transparency, equality, and fairness in the electoral process, thereby increasing public confidence in the democratic system and the legitimacy of election outcomes. In their research, (Stromer-Galley & Wichowski, 2011) examined the impact of political campaign videos uploaded on YouTube on individual political engagement and participation. Findings from the study indicate that strong public engagement in the form of interactions with political campaign videos on the YouTube platform yields positive results in terms of political engagement and voter participation. High levels of public participation facilitate an increased avenue for citizens to actively participate in the electoral process, thus potentially enhancing the overall integrity and quality of elections. In their research, (Gerber et al., 2008) conducted a comprehensive field experiment to investigate the impact of social pressure on voter participation. The findings from the study suggest that engaging in active community participation, particularly by exerting social pressure, such as reminding acquaintances or community members to exercise their democratic right to vote, can have a significant impact on increasing voter participation. By increasing citizen participation in elections, there is a greater likelihood that elections will accurately represent the genuine desires of the population, thereby enhancing the integrity and quality of the electoral process as a whole. Empirically, it has been proven that civil society engagement in election monitoring has yielded positive

results in terms of detecting violations, overseeing vote counting, and promoting fair and transparent election processes.

It is crucial to emphasize that public engagement holds significant meaning in the conduct of election monitoring. Public involvement in the electoral process is highly needed because their active participation in election monitoring has the potential to enhance the integrity, transparency, and public confidence in election outcomes. With the aforementioned challenges, it is imperative for government agencies, electoral institutions, and civil society to harmoniously collaborate to build inclusive mechanisms for participation and ensure the efficient implementation of frameworks for managing election monitoring.

Furthermore, when considering the theory of public participation, it is evident that public involvement holds a significant meaning in the realm of election monitoring management. Public participation encompasses the dynamic involvement of individuals from the general public in the decision-making and policy implementation processes that impact the collective existence of the public. In the context of the electoral process, public participation includes the efforts made by the general public to oversee and scrutinize the conduct of elections, with the aim of maintaining the credibility and openness of the procedures and their outcomes. Here is an explanation of the role of the public in election monitoring management from the perspective of public participation theory:

**Independent Monitoring:** Citizens have the ability to form and participate in autonomous oversight bodies, such as election monitoring bodies, civil society organizations, or election monitoring groups. Their responsibilities include monitoring every stage of the electoral process, including voter registration, campaign execution, voting, and vote tabulation. Public involvement in these institutions serves as a potent mechanism for social oversight in ensuring electoral integrity.

**Voter Education:** The public plays a significant role in disseminating information related to the importance of elections, voter rights, and the procedures involved in the electoral process to all individuals in the public sphere. Enhancing individuals' understanding of the significance of elections encourages their active participation in the electoral process, including critical scrutiny.

**Reporting Violations:** The general public is obliged to fulfill their duties in reporting any instances of election violations they witness. Everyone has the capacity to inform relevant authorities, such as election commissions or independent monitors, about instances of fraud, intimidation, or other violations. Through such reporting, the general public plays a crucial role in upholding the integrity of the electoral process.

**Participation in Public Discourse:** Individuals have the opportunity to engage in public discourse on election-related issues, which includes topics such as political party agendas, candidates, and election strategies. Engaging in public debate allows individuals to articulate their perspectives, question candidates or political factions, and voice their concerns. Thus, they have the capacity to influence the election agenda and enhance accountability among political leaders.

**Monitoring Social Media:** In the contemporary digital landscape, the general public takes on a participatory role in monitoring the electoral process through various

social media platforms. Individuals have the ability to articulate their viewpoints, disseminate election-related facts, and monitor the spread of false information or political propaganda. Engaging actively in social media participation allows individuals to influence public opinion and effectively scrutinize information dissemination.

In the broader context, active engagement of the general public in the management of election monitoring holds a crucial function in upholding the fundamental principles of integrity, transparency, and accountability in the electoral process. By actively participating in public involvement, the public has the potential to enhance democracy by ensuring that electoral procedures align with democratic principles and by selecting leaders who effectively advocate for the interests of the public.

## CONCLUSION

The study of public involvement in election monitoring management demonstrates that their participation significantly contributes to upholding the integrity, transparency, and trust in electoral procedures. The public has the opportunity to actively engage in monitoring, reporting, and overseeing the conduct of elections, both through direct participation and institutional participation mechanisms. Strong public participation can also serve as a preventive and detection mechanism for potential election violations, enhance transparency, and boost the credibility of election outcomes.

## REFERENCES

- Arifin, R., & Hidayat, S. N. (2019). Organizing Democracy through General Elections in Indonesia: The Challenge of Law Enforcement and State Stability. *Sospol: Jurnal Sosial Politik*, 5(2), 333–344.
- Arniti, N. K. (2020). Partiiipasi Politik Masyarakat dalam Pemilihan Umum Legislatif di Kota Denpasar. *JURNAL ILMLAH DINAMIKA SOSIAL*, 4(2), 329–348.
- Arnstein, S. R. (1969). A Ladder Of Citizen Participation. *Journal of the American Institute of Planners*, 35(4), 216–224. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01944366908977225>
- Arsana, I. M. M., Wicaksana, K. A. B., Sugiarta, I. N., & Dicriyani, N. L. M. (2019). Implementation of Supervision Management, Effective Supervision Techniques to Improve the Effectiveness of Cooperative Supervision. *Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research, Volume 383*, 173–177.
- Ausat, A. M. A., Al Bana, T., & Gadzali, S. S. (2023). Basic Capital of Creative Economy: The Role of Intellectual, Social, Cultural, and Institutional Capital. *Apollo: Journal of Tourism and Business*, 1(2), 42–54. <https://doi.org/10.58905/apollo.v1i2.21>
- Banaji, M. R., Fiske, S. T., & Massey, D. S. (2021). Systemic racism: individuals and interactions, institutions and society. *Cognitive Research: Principles and Implications*, 6(1), 82. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41235-021-00349-3>
- Beck, P. A., & Dalton, R. J. (2001). A new era of minimal cues? The changing relationship between media and voter turnout. *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion and Parties*, 11(3), 273–290.

- Dawson, S. (2022). Electoral fraud and the paradox of political competition. *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion and Parties*, 32(4), 793–812. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17457289.2020.1740716>
- Dezelan, T., Pavy, E., & Der Elst, F. Van. (2022). *Young people's participation in European democratic processes*. European Parliament.
- Doe, J. (2018). The Role of Information Technology and Social Media in Facilitating Public Participation and Expanding Electoral Oversight. *Journal of Political Science*, 42(3), 123–145.
- El Baradei, L. (2012). The Role of Civil Society Organizations in Monitoring Elections: Lessons Learned for the New Egypt. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 35(9), 587–602. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01900692.2012.661180>
- Emerson, K., Nabatchi, T., & Balogh, S. (2012). An Integrative Framework for Collaborative Governance. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 22(1), 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/mur011>
- Faiz, P. M. (2017). Strengthening the Principle of Regular, Free and Fair Elections Through Constitutional Review. *Jurnal Konstitusi*, 14(3), 637–700.
- Gerber, A. S., Green, D. P., & Larimer, C. W. (2008). Social Pressure and Voter Turnout: Evidence from a Large-Scale Field Experiment. *American Political Science Review*, 102(1), 33–48.
- Germann, M. (2021). Making Votes Count with Internet Voting. *Political Behavior*, 43(4), 1511–1533. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11109-020-09598-2>
- Granovetter, M. (2005). The Impact of Social Structure on Economic Outcomes. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 19(1), 33–50. <https://doi.org/10.1257/0895330053147958>
- Hamer, K., McFarland, S., Czarnecka, B., Golińska, A., Cadena, L. M., Łuźniak-Piecha, M., & Julkowski, T. (2020). What Is an “Ethnic Group” in Ordinary People’s Eyes? Different Ways of Understanding It Among American, British, Mexican, and Polish Respondents. *Cross-Cultural Research*, 54(1), 28–72. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1069397118816939>
- Hooghe, M., & Stolle, D. (2003). Confidence in institutions: A conceptual and empirical analysis. In *Confidence in Institutions*, 21–46.
- Junaidi, V. (2013). *Perlibatan dan Partisipasi Masyarakat dalam Pengawasan Pemilu* (S. O. Triyatna, Ed.). Perkumpulan untuk Pemilu dan Demokrasi (Perludem).
- Kirkpatrick, J. J. (1984). Democratic Elections and Democratic Government. *World Affairs*, 147(2), 61–69.
- Lappin, R. (2009). Why Observe Elections? Reassessing the Importance of Credible Elections to Post-Conflict Peacebuilding. *Peace Research*, 41(2), 85–117.
- MacQueen, K. M., McLellan, E., Metzger, D. S., Kegeles, S., Strauss, R. P., Scotti, R., Blanchard, L., & Trotter, R. T. (2001). What Is Community? An Evidence-Based Definition for Participatory Public Health. *American Journal of Public Health*, 91(12), 1929–1938. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.91.12.1929>
- Mandeli, K. (2019). Public space and the challenge of urban transformation in cities of emerging economies: Jeddah case study. *Cities*, 95, 102409. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2019.102409>

- Martins, J. M., Kashif, U., Dantas, R. M., Rafiq, M., & Lucas, J. L. (2022). Impact of Paternal Leadership on Employee Retention during COVID-19: Financial Crunch or Financial Gain. *Social Sciences*, 11(10), 485. <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci11100485>
- Norris, P. (2014). *Why Electoral Integrity Matters*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107280861>
- Pahlevi, I. (2011). Lembaga Penyelenggara Pemilihan Umum di Indonesia: Berbagai Permasalahannya. *Politica*, 2(1), 45–72.
- Partheymüller, J., Müller, W. C., Rabitsch, A., Lidauer, M., & Grohma, P. (2022). Participation in the administration of elections and perceptions of electoral integrity. *Electoral Studies*, 77, 102474. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electstud.2022.102474>
- Pascoe, E. A., & Smart Richman, L. (2009). Perceived discrimination and health: A meta-analytic review. *Psychological Bulletin*, 135(4), 531–554. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0016059>
- Renn, O., Webler, T., Rakel, H., Dienel, P., & Johnson, B. (1993). Public Participation in Decision Making: A Three-Step Procedure. *Democracy and the Policy Sciences*, 26(3), 189–214.
- Smith, J., Johnson, A., & Davis, R. (2018). The Impact of Strong and Active Public Participation on the Integrity and Quality of Elections. *Journal of Political Science*, 42(3), 301–318.
- Stromer-Galley, J., & Wichowski, A. (2011). Does YouTube Enhance Political Campaigns? Assessing the Effects of Campaign Videos on Political Involvement and Participation. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 16(1), 91–114.
- Subiyanto, A. E. (2020). Pemilihan Umum Serentak yang Berintegritas sebagai Pembaruan Demokrasi Indonesia. *Jurnal Konstitusi*, 17(2), 355. <https://doi.org/10.31078/jk1726>
- Sufirman, & Batara, A. S. (2023). Community Political Participation in the Development of a Participatory Supervision Program by the Citizens' Forum of BAWASLU (The General Election Supervisory Agency) Takalar Regency in the General Elections 2019. *MADANI Jurnal Politik Dan Sosial Kemasyarakatan*, 15(1), 106–131.
- Suphattanakul, O. (2018). Public Participation in Decision-making Processes: Concepts and Tools. *Journal of Business and Social Review in Emerging Economies*, 4(2), 221–230. <https://doi.org/10.26710/jbsee.v4i2.213>
- Tajaddod Alizadeh, M., Reza Saacidabadi, M., & Khajeheian, D. (2021). How to compose a media mix to win an electoral campaign? proposing a framework for political marketing. *AD-Minister*, 39, 163–194. <https://doi.org/10.17230/Ad-minister.39.8>
- Tejedo-Romero, F., Araujo, J. F. F. E., Tejada, Á., & Ramírez, Y. (2022). E-government mechanisms to enhance the participation of citizens and society: Exploratory analysis through the dimension of municipalities. *Technology in Society*, 70, 101978. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2022.101978>
- Verba, S., Nie, N. H., & Kim, J. (1978). *Participation and Political Equality: A Seven-Nation Comparison* (1st ed.). Cambridge University Press.